

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/994,568	Applicant(s) CHO ET AL.	
	Examiner Prenell P. Jones	Art Unit 2668	

All Participants:

(1) Prenell P. Jones.

(2) Applicant's Representative (Mr. William Pieprz).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 February 2006

Time: 12:30 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Regarding claims 33 & 37 and 27 & 34, Examiner indicated to Mr. Pieprz that there was lack of antecedent basis associated with claims 33 & 37 and that claims 27 & 34 had a minor inconsistency. Mr. Pieprz authorized Examiner to perform an Examiner's amendment on claims 27 and 34, as well as claims 29 and 35 because claims 33 and 37 depend on claims 29 and 35 respectively. Amendment to claims 29 and 35, corrects the lack of antecedent basis problem associated with claims 33 and 37. .